Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	 09/544,196	CHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	lan N. Moore	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communi GHTS. This application is su	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THI	S ative
·			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 30-48 which have been renumb			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)	been received. been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file a	No in this national stage application from the	ð
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAI	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	t be submitted.	•	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	•	(110-946) attached	
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	s Amendment / Comment or i	e drawings in the front (not the back) of	
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	RIAL must be submitted. Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview Sur Paper No./N 7. ⊠ Examiner's A	Mail Date <u>3-19-07</u> . Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance	

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Elmer W. Galbi on March 19, 2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

- Claim 30, line 7, "said CPU utilization value" has been replaced with -- said
 present CPU utilization value --
- Claim 30, line 7-8, "the resources" has been replaced with -- the particular set of resources --
- Claim 30, line 9, "an incoming call" has been replaced with -- said incoming call --
- Claim 30, line 11, "the resources" has been replaced with -- the particular set of resources --
- Claim 30, line 12, "said CPU utilization value" has been replaced with -- said
 present CPU utilization value --
- Claim 30, line 13, "said CPU utilization threshold" has been replaced with -- said present CPU utilization threshold value --
- Claim 30, line 13, "said signaling" has been replaced with -- said signaling refusal -

Application/Control Number: 09/544,196

Art Unit: 2616

• Claim 30, line 14, "the resources" has been replaced with -- the particular set of resources --

Page 3

- Claim 31, line 8, "the present CPU utilization value" has been replaced with -- a present CPU utilization value --
- Claim 31, line 7, "value" has been inserted after "said CPU utilization threshold" (i.e. said CPU utilization threshold value)
- Claim 31, line 10, "said CPU utilization threshold" has been replaced with -- said present CPU utilization threshold value --
- Claim 31, line 11, "value" has been inserted after "said CPU utilization threshold" (i.e. said CPU utilization threshold value)
- Claim 32, line 1, "value" has been inserted after "said CPU utilization threshold" (i.e. said CPU utilization threshold value)
- Claim 32, line 2, "the maximum CPU processing capacity" has been replaced with
 -- a maximum CPU processing capacity --
- Claim 33, line 1, "value" has been inserted after "said CPU utilization threshold" (i.e. said CPU utilization threshold value)
- Claim 33, line 2, "said CPU processing capacity" has been replaced with -- said maximum CPU processing capacity --
- Claim 34, line 1, "the CPU utilization value" has been replaced with -- said present

 CPU utilization value --
- Claim 36, line 1, "said CPU utilization threshold" has been replaced with -- said
 CPU utilization threshold value --

Application/Control Number: 09/544,196

- Claim 39, line 1, "an incoming call" has been replaced with -- said incoming call --
- Claim 40, line 1, "the ring signal" has been replaced with -- a ring signal --
- Claim 40, line 2, "an incoming call" has been replaced with -- said incoming call --
- Claim 40, line 2, "the incoming call" has been replaced with -- said incoming call -
- Claim 41, line 12, "the incoming call" has been replaced with -- said incoming call
- Claim 42, line 2, "the maximum CPU processing capacity" has been replaced with -- a maximum CPU processing capacity --
- Claim 43, line 2, "said CPU processing capacity" has been replaced with -- said
 maximum CPU processing capacity --
- Claim 44, line 1, "the CPU utilization value" has been replaced with -- said present
 CPU utilization value --
- Claim 44, line 1, "the value" has been replaced with --a value --
- Claim 48, line 2, "an incoming call" has been replaced with -- said incoming call --
- Claim 48, line 3, "the incoming call" has been replaced with -- said incoming call -

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-10, filed 11/21/2006, with respect to claims 30-48 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,196

Art Unit: 2616

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 30-48 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian N. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-3085. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM- 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 571-272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

INM 9NM 4-9-07

> DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5